1	NICHOLAS A. TRUTANICH		
2	United States Attorney		
2	District of Nevada		
3	TROVE ELAVE		
4	TROY K. FLAKE Deputy Civil Chief		
	501 Las Vegas Boulevard South, Suite 1100		
5	Las Vegas, Nevada 89101		
6	Telephone: 702-388-6336		
_	Facsimile: 702-388-6787		
7	Email: troy.flake@usdoj.gov		
8	EUGENE N. HANSEN		
9	JOHANNA M. FRANZEN		
9	ANTHONY C. GENTNER		
10	MARK C. ELMER		
11	Trial Attorneys, U.S. Department of Justice		
	Environment & Natural Resources Division		
12	P.O. Box 7611, Ben Franklin Station		
13	Washington, DC 20044-7611 Telephone: 202-305-0301		
1.4	Facsimile: 202-514-8865		
14	Email: eugene.hansen@usdoj.gov		
15	johanna.franzen@usdoj.gov		
16	anthony.gentner@usdoj.gov		
10	mark.elmer@usdoj.gov		
17	Attorneys for the United States		
18	Imorneys for the entired states		
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
19	DISTRICT OF NEVADA		
20	LINUTED STATES OF AMEDICA	C N 2-15 01742 MMD NIIV	
21	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Case No. 2:15-cv-01743-MMD-NJK	
	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION REGARDING IN	
22	v.	LIMINE ISSUES	
23			
	400 ACRES OF LAND, more or less,		
24	situate in Lincoln County, State of Nevada;		
25	and JESSIE J. COX, et al.,		
26	Defendants.		
27			
28			

The Parties respectfully submit the following Stipulation Regarding In Limine Issues:

## **RECITALS**

WHEREAS, the Court's Scheduling Order requires that the Parties file any motions *in limine* in this case no later than November 1, 2019 (ECF 506);

WHEREAS, on October 22, 24, and 31, 2019, the Parties met and conferred in an effort to resolve all proposed motions *in limine* without Court involvement;

WHEREAS, the Parties reached agreement on several issues pertaining to the proposed motions *in limine*;

WHEREAS, the Parties have recorded their agreement in this Stipulation; and WHEREAS, the Parties respectfully request that the Court so order these stipulations.

## STIPULATIONS FOR TRIAL

NOW THEREFORE, the Parties stipulate as follows with respect to the trial scheduled in this case:

- 1.) The Parties, their witnesses, and counsel shall not put forward any evidence, question, argument, testimony, or reference concerning the tax-assessed value of the land taken in this eminent domain proceeding (hereafter "Subject Property").
- 2.) The Parties, their witnesses, and counsel shall not put forward any evidence, question, argument, testimony, or reference concerning the quantum of any intra-family transfer of the Subject Property.<sup>1</sup>
- 3.) The Parties, their witnesses, and counsel shall not put forward any evidence, question, argument, testimony, or reference that taxpayers are responsible for paying any award of just compensation.
- 4.) Landowners' expert witness Tio DiFederico shall not sponsor his \$56,870,000 sales-comparison valuation and \$57 million final value conclusion (hereafter, individually and collectively, the "\$57 million valuation"). Mr. DiFederico instead may sponsor his \$49,870,000 million sales-comparison valuation and \$50 million final value conclusion (hereafter,

The United States makes this stipulation in light of the Court's prior ruling excluding Landowners' opinions of value.

Analysis dated July 26, 2018 and referencing his Appraisal of Real Property Groom Mine dated October, 13, 2016. The Parties, their witnesses, and counsel accordingly shall not put forward any evidence, question, argument, testimony, or reference to Mr. DiFederico's \$57 million value. The United States further stipulates and agrees that it will not contend or elicit any testimony suggesting that the \$50 million valuation fails to appraise the entire condemned estate.

- 5.) Unless otherwise ordered by the Court or Commission (as authorized by the Court), the Parties, their witnesses, and counsel shall not put forward any evidence, question, argument, testimony, or reference concerning the capitalization rate and/or discount rate that could be used to value the Subject Property under the income capitalization approach as the Court excluded the income capitalization approach.<sup>2</sup> This stipulation is without prejudice to any appellate right to challenge the exclusion of the income capitalization approach as a method to value the Subject Property.
- 6.) Unless otherwise ordered by the Court or Commission (as authorized by the Court), the Parties stipulate and agree that the Court's reasoning in excluding the Qualtrics surveys from trial (see ECF 497 at 51-53) applies to exclude the surveys which were administered at the Alien Research Center for this case (the "ARC Surveys"). The Parties, their witnesses, and counsel accordingly shall not put forward any evidence, question, argument, or testimony, regarding the ARC Surveys.<sup>3</sup> This stipulation is without prejudice to any appellate right to challenge the exclusion of the Qualtrics and ARC Surveys.
- 7.) The Parties, their witnesses, and counsel shall not put forward any evidence, question, argument, testimony, or reference concerning (a) allegations that the United States Air Force and/or other governmental officials have held people at gunpoint or otherwise detained

The Landowners make this stipulation in light of the Court's prior ruling excluding the use of the income capitalization approach.

Should the Commission inquire whether any surveys were conducted in this matter, the parties shall be able to reference that the Qualtrics and ARC surveys were conducted and the reason for the Court's exclusion.

people on or near the Subject Property; (b) illness and deaths due to radiation from atomic testing, including impacts to animals; and (c) bullets strafing the property. Notwithstanding the foregoing, Landowners may put forward evidence of bullets allegedly strafing the Subject Property in the late 1940s-early 1950s but only in the event that the United States, its counsel, or its witnesses contend or suggest that mining production on the Subject Property declined in the late 1940s-early 1950s because of diminishing ore quality and/or amounts.

- 8.) The Parties, their witnesses, and counsel shall not put forward any evidence, question, argument, testimony, or reference concerning the United States' deposit of \$1.2 million as the estimated just compensation or the Landowners' withdrawal of any portion thereof.
- 9.) The Parties, their witnesses, and counsel shall not put forward any evidence, question, argument, testimony, or reference concerning (a) amounts offered to settle or avoid the 2015 condemnation of the Subject Property or any conduct or statement made during negotiations regarding the 2015 Condemnation of the Subject Property (including offers made by the Parties in 2014 and 2015) and (b) the settlement of Landowners' claim for relocation expenses. The Parties recognize, however, that certain historical documents reflecting prior negotiations and offers (*i.e.*, documents created prior to 2014) otherwise may be relevant and admissible.
- 10.) The Parties, their witnesses, and counsel shall not put forward any evidence, question, argument, testimony, or reference concerning the character and motivations of opposing counsel, specifically any allegations that counsel has attempted to delay this proceeding and/or has engaged in discovery violations. This stipulation in and of itself shall not prevent Landowners' expert witnesses from testifying concerning (or Landowners otherwise seeking to admit) the document entitled "Work Plan, Groom Mine Preliminary Assessment/Site Inspection" (Bates stamped US0012619 -662) ("PA/SI") or raising the timing of the disclosure of the PA/SI, although the United States reserves its right to object to such testimony, argument, and/or the introduction of the PA/SI into evidence on other grounds besides this stipulation.
- 11.) Unless otherwise ordered by the Court or Commission (as authorized by the Court), the Parties, their witnesses, and counsel shall not put forward any evidence, question,

argument, testimony, or reference concerning Landowners' request to have core drilling and testing performed on the Subject Property after the filing of this case beyond an instruction to the Commission. The substance of this Commission instruction will be drafted later by the Parties and proposed to the Court, but, generally, the Parties agree that the Commission should be instructed at the commencement of trial that Landowners requested core drilling and testing be performed, that the United States opposed this request because, among other things, it would not lead to information relevant to the property's value as of the date of value and because of the burdens imposed by the request, including the burden of having civilian access on the property for one day or longer, and that the Court denied Landowners' motion to compel entry to the Subject Property to perform core drilling and testing.

WHEREFORE, the Parties respectfully request that the Court so order the above stipulations.

## SO ORDERED:

17

18

19

21

FOR THE PARTIES:

THE HON. MIRANDA M. DU

Dated: November 5, 2019.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Dated November 1, 2019

27

28

ON BEHALF OF PLAINTIFF:

NICHOLAS A. TRUTANICH United States Attorney District of Nevada

1	
2	TROY K. FLAKE
	Deputy Civil Chief District of Nevada
3	District of Nevada
4	<u>/s/ Eugene N. Hansen</u>
5	/s/ Johanna M. Franzen EUGENE N. HANSEN
6	JOHANNA M. FRANZEN
	ANTHONY C. GENTNER
7	MARK C. ELMER
8	Trial Attorneys
9	U.S. Department of Justice
10	
10	ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANT SHEAHAN LANDOWNERS:
11	EANDO WILLIO.
12	LAW OFFICES OF KERMITT L. WATERS
13	/s/ James J. Leavitt
14	KERMITT L. WATERS, ESQ.
14	Nevada Bar No. 2571
15	JAMES J. LEAVITT, ESQ.
16	Nevada Bar No. 6032
	MICHAEL SCHNEIDER, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 8889
17	AUTUMN L. WATERS, ESQ.
18	Nevada Bar No. 8917
19	Attorneys for Defendant Sheahan
	LANDOWNERS
20	
21	ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANT TANIS
22	LANDOWNERS:
23	GUNDERSON LAW FIRM
	/c/ John P. Funk
24	/s/ John R. Funk MARK H. GUNDERSON, Bar No. 2134
25	JOHN R. FUNK, Bar No. 12372
26	Attorneys for Defendant Tanis Landowners
27	
28	
40	

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on November 1, 2019, I caused the foregoing Stipulation Regarding In Limine Issues to be served on all parties who have appeared in this action using the Court's case management/electronic case filing system. I further certify that on November 1, 2019, the United States sent a copy of the foregoing via U.S. mail to the following interested parties:

4	United States sent a copy of the foregoing via U.S. man to the following interests	
5	Sandra Sears-Lavallee	Debbie DeVito
6	1172 Skyline Road	c/o Stanley Pedder
7	Henderson, NV 89002	3445 Golden Gate Way Lafayette, CA 94549
8	John B. Sheahan	Melanie Goodpasture
9	address unknown	P.O. Box 7044
10		Cotati, CA 94931
11	Deborah Lynn Sheahan 4662 Gabriel Drive	House Rabbit Society c/o Anne Martin (Registered Agent)
12	Las Vegas, NV 89121	148 Broadway
13		Richmond, CA 94804
14	Diane Sibley-Origlia 1615 Via Romero	Animal Place c/o Kim Sturla (Registered Agent)
15	Alamo, CA 94507	17314 McCourtney Road
16		Grass Valley, CA 95949
17	Katherine Kell c/o Stanley Pedder	Hui Chu Poole 165 Lakewood Road
18	3445 Golden Gate Way	Walnut Creek, CA 94598
19	Lafayette, CA 94549	
20	Amy E. Sears P.O. Box 71	
21	Pioche, NV 89043	

/s/ Johanna M. Franzen Johanna M. Franzen